Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Algebraic Bethe ansatz solutions for the $sl(2|1)^{(2)}$ and osp(2|1) models with boundary terms

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

2005 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 38 2359

(http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/38/11/004)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.66 The article was downloaded on 02/06/2010 at 20:04

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 38 (2005) 2359-2373

doi:10.1088/0305-4470/38/11/004

Algebraic Bethe ansatz solutions for the $sl(2|1)^{(2)}$ and osp(2|1) models with boundary terms

V Kurak¹ and A Lima-Santos²

 ¹ Instituto de Física Caixa, Universidade de São Paulo, Postal 66318, CEP 05315-970 São Paulo —SP, Brazil
 ² Departamento de Física Caixa, Universidade Federal de São Carlos, Postal 676, CEP 13569-905 São Carlos, Brazil

Received 5 October 2004, in final form 18 January 2005 Published 2 March 2005 Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/38/2359

Abstract

This work is concerned with the formulation of the graded quantum inverse scattering method for a class of lattice models with reflecting boundary conditions. The $sl(2|1)^{(2)}$ and osp(2|1) models are considered with their diagonal reflections in BFB grading. This allowed us to derive the eigenvalues and eigenvectors for the corresponding transfer matrices as well as explicit expressions for the Bethe ansatz equations.

PACS numbers: 05.20.-y, 05.50.+q, 04.20.Jb

1. Introduction

Integrable quantum systems containing Fermi fields have been attracting increasing interest due to their potential applications in condensed matter physics. The prototypical examples of such systems are the supersymmetric generalizations of the Hubbard and t-J models [1–5]. They lead to a generalization of the Yang–Baxter (YB) equation [6] associated with the introduction of the a Z_2 grading [7]. These models are often derived from supersymmetric solutions of the Yang–Baxter equation invariant by the gl(2|1) and osp(2|2) Lie superalgebras [8–12].

In addition to the grading, it is also important to introduce open boundary conditions to study the boundary effects on the bulk system. The boundary effects can be described by scattering matrices satisfying the so-called reflection equation [13]. A systematic approach to construct such models has been developed by Sklyanin [14] who has investigated the six-vertex model with boundaries. Subsequently, this scheme has been generalized to handle a rather general class of models based on Lie algebras [15–18].

The most powerful method in the analysis of integrable models is the Bethe ansatz (BA). The algebraic BA, also known as the quantum inverse scattering method (QISM) [20], is an elegant and important generalization of the coordinate BA [21]. A successful example of the

algebraic BA with boundaries is the supersymmetric free-parameter model constructed from the *R*-matrix associated to the four-dimensional representation of gl(2|1) [22–24].

In this paper we will study two graded three-state 19-vertex models $sl(2|1)^{(2)}$ and osp(2|1) with reflecting boundary conditions. Their boundary algebraic BA are delineated based in the recent progresses [25, 26], for the formulation of the open algebraic BA for their non-graded version, the Zamolodchikov–Fateev model (or $B_1^{(1)}$ model) [27] and for the Izergin–Korepin model (or $A_2^{(2)}$ model) [28], respectively [29].

This paper is organized as follows: in section 2 we define the models to be studied. In section 3, we present our detailed calculations common to both models and in section 4 the eigenspectra and the corresponding Bethe equations are explicitly presented for each model. Section 5 is reserved for conclusions.

2. The models

Let $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$ be a Z_2 -graded vector space where 0 and 1 denote the even and odd parts respectively. Multiplication rules in the graded tensor product space $V \overset{s}{\otimes} V$ differ from the ordinary ones by the appearance of additional signs. The components of a linear operator $A \overset{s}{\otimes} B \in V \overset{s}{\otimes} V$ result in matrix elements of the form

$$(A \overset{\circ}{\otimes} B)^{\gamma\delta}_{\alpha\beta} = (-)^{p(\beta)(p(\alpha)+p(\gamma))} A_{\alpha\gamma} B_{\beta\delta}.$$
(2.1)

The action of the graded permutation operator \mathcal{P} on the vector $|\alpha\rangle \overset{s}{\otimes} |\beta\rangle \in V \overset{s}{\otimes} V$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{P}|\alpha\rangle \overset{s}{\otimes} |\beta\rangle = (-)^{p(\alpha)p(\beta)} |\beta\rangle \overset{s}{\otimes} |\alpha\rangle \Longrightarrow (\mathcal{P})^{\gamma\delta}_{\alpha\beta} = (-)^{p(\alpha)p(\beta)} \delta_{\alpha\delta} \delta_{\beta\gamma}.$$
(2.2)

The graded transposition st and the graded trace str are defined by

$$(A^{\rm st})_{\alpha\beta} = (-)^{(p(\alpha)+1)p(\beta)} A_{\beta\alpha}, \qquad \text{str} A = \sum_{\alpha} (-)^{p(\alpha)} A_{\alpha\alpha}, \qquad (2.3)$$

where $p(\alpha) = 1(0)$ if $|\alpha\rangle$ is an odd (even) element.

For the graded case the YB equation

$$\mathcal{R}_{12}(u)\mathcal{R}_{13}(u+v)\mathcal{R}_{23}(v) = \mathcal{R}_{23}(v)\mathcal{R}_{13}(u+v)\mathcal{R}_{12}(u)$$
(2.4)

and the reflection equation [14, 15]

$$\mathcal{R}_{12}(u-v)K_1^{-}(u)\mathcal{R}_{21}(u+v)K_2^{-}(v) = K_2^{-}(v)\mathcal{R}_{12}(u+v)K_1^{-}(u)\mathcal{R}_{21}(u-v)$$
(2.5)

remain the same as in the non-graded cases and we only need to change the usual tensor product to the graded tensor product.

In general, the dual reflection equation which depends on the unitarity and cross-unitarity relations of the \mathcal{R} -matrix takes different forms for different models. For the models considered in this paper, we write the graded dual reflection equation in the form introduced by Zhou *et al* [30] (see also [31]):

$$\mathcal{R}_{21}^{st_1st_2}(-u+v)(K_1^+)^{st_1}(u)M_1^{-1}\mathcal{R}_{12}^{st_1st_2}(-u-v-2\rho)M_1(K_2^+)^{st_2}(v) = (K_2^+)^{st_2}(v)M_1\mathcal{R}_{12}^{st_1st_2}(-u-v-2\rho)M_1^{-1}(K_1^+)^{st_1}(u)\mathcal{R}_{21}^{st_1st_2}(-u+v),$$
(2.6)

and we will choose a common parity assignment: p(1) = p(3) = 0 and p(2) = 1, the BFB grading. Moreover, we have verified that the three different sets of equations associated with the gradings BFB, FBB and BBF are mutually equivalent and consequently, the BA results presented in this paper do not depend on our grading choice.

Now, using the relations

$$\mathcal{R}_{12}^{st_1st_2}(u) = I_1 R_{21}(u) I_1, \qquad \mathcal{R}_{21}^{st_1st_2}(u) = I_1 R_{12}(u) I_1 \qquad \text{and} IK^+(u)I = K^+(u) \qquad (2.7)$$

with I = diag(1, -1, 1) and the property $[M_1M_2, \mathcal{R}(u)] = 0$ we can see that the usual isomorphism [32]

$$K^{-}(u) :\to K^{+}(u) = K^{-}(-u-\rho)^{st}M$$
 (2.8)

holds with the BFB grading. Here st_i denotes super-transposition in the space *i*.

A quantum-integrable system is characterized by the monodromy matrix T(u) satisfying the fundamental relation

$$R(u-v)\left[T(u)\otimes T(v)\right] = \left[T(v)\otimes T(u)\right]R(u-v),\tag{2.9}$$

where R(u) is given by $R(u) = P\mathcal{R}(u)$.

In the framework of the QISM [20], the simplest monodromies have become known as \mathcal{L} operators, the Lax operators, here defined by $\mathcal{L}_{aq}(u) = \mathcal{R}_{aq}(u)$, where the subscript *a* represents the auxiliary space, and *q* represents the quantum space. The monodromy matrix T(u) is defined as the matrix product of *N* Lax operators on all sites of the lattice,

$$T(u) = \mathcal{L}_{aN}(u)\mathcal{L}_{aN-1}(u)\cdots\mathcal{L}_{a1}(u).$$
(2.10)

The main result for open boundaries integrability is: if the boundary equations are satisfied, then the Sklyanin's transfer matrix [14]

$$t(u) = \operatorname{str}_a \left(K^+(u)T(u)K^-(u)T^{-1}(-u) \right)$$
(2.11)

forms a commuting collection of operators in the quantum space

$$[t(u), t(v)] = 0, \qquad \forall u, v.$$
 (2.12)

The commutativity of t(u) can be proved by using the unitarity and crossing-unitarity relations, the reflection equation and the dual reflection equation. In particular, it implies the integrability of an open quantum spin chain whose Hamiltonian (with $K^{-}(0) = 1$) is given by [14]

$$H = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} H_{k,k+1} + \frac{1}{2} \left. \frac{\mathrm{d}K_1^-(u)}{\mathrm{d}u} \right|_{u=0} + \frac{\mathrm{str}_0 K_0^+(0) H_{N,0}}{\mathrm{str}K^+(0)},\tag{2.13}$$

where the two-site terms are given by

$$H_{k,k+1} = \left. \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}u} P_{k,k+1} \mathcal{R}_{k,k+1}(u) \right|_{u=0}$$
(2.14)

in the standard fashion.

The three-state vertex models that we will consider are the $sl(2|1)^{(2)}$ model and the osp(1|2) model. Their \mathcal{R} -matrices have a common form

$$\mathcal{R}(u) = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & & & & \\ & x_2 & x_5 & & & \\ & & x_3 & x_6 & x_7 & & \\ \hline & & y_5 & x_2 & & & \\ & & & x_2 & & x_6 & & \\ \hline & & & & & x_2 & & x_5 & \\ \hline & & & & & & & x_2 & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & y_5 & & x_2 & \\ & & & & & & & & & & x_1 \end{pmatrix}$$
(2.15)

satisfying the properties

regularity:
$$\mathcal{R}_{12}(0) = f(0)^{1/2} P_{12}$$

unitarity: $\mathcal{R}_{12}(u) \mathcal{R}_{12}^{st_1st_2}(-u) = f(u)$,
PT-symmetry: $P_{12}\mathcal{R}_{12}(u) P_{12} = \mathcal{R}_{12}^{st_1st_2}(u)$,
crossing-symmetry: $\mathcal{R}_{12}(u) = U_1 \mathcal{R}_{12}^{st_2}(-u-\rho) U_1^{-1}$,
(2.16)

where $f(u) = x_1(u)x_1(-u), x_1(u)$ being defined for each model below. ρ is the crossing parameter and U determines the crossing matrix

$$M = U^t U = M^t. (2.17)$$

Unitarity and crossing-symmetry together imply the useful relation

$$M_1 \mathcal{R}_{12}^{st_2}(-u-\rho) M_1^{-1} \mathcal{R}_{12}^{st_1}(u-\rho) = f'(u).$$
(2.18)

2.1. The $sl(2|1)^{(2)}$ model

The solution of the graded YB equation corresponding to $sl(2|1)^{(2)}$ in the fundamental representation has the form (2.15) with non-zero entries [33, 34]:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1(u) &= \sinh(u + 2\eta) \cosh(u + \eta), & x_2(u) &= \sinh u \cosh(u + \eta) \\ x_3(u) &= \sinh u \cosh(u - \eta), & x_4(u) &= \sinh u \cosh(u + \eta) - \sinh 2\eta \cosh \eta, \\ y_5(u) &= x_5(u) &= \sinh 2\eta \cosh(u + \eta), & y_6(u) &= x_6(u) &= \sinh 2\eta \sinh u, \\ y_7(u) &= x_7(u) &= \sinh 2\eta \cosh \eta. \end{aligned}$$
(2.19)

This \mathcal{R} -matrix is the simplest example of an graded \mathcal{R} matrix of the twisted type. It is regular and unitary, with $f(u) = x_1(u)x_1(-u)$, P- and T-symmetric and crossing-symmetric with M = 1 and $\rho = \eta$. The graded version of the crossing-unitarity relation (2.18) is satisfied with $f'(u) = x_1(u + i\frac{\pi}{2})x_1(-u - i\frac{\pi}{2})$.

The most general diagonal solution for $K^{-}(u)$ is presented in [35] and it is given by

$$K^{-}(u,\beta) = \begin{pmatrix} k_{11}^{-}(u) & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & k_{33}^{-}(u) \end{pmatrix}$$
(2.20)

with

$$k_{11}^{-}(u) = -\frac{\beta \sinh u + 2 \cosh u}{\beta \sinh u - 2 \cosh u}, \qquad k_{33}^{-}(u) = \frac{\beta \cosh(u+\eta) - 2 \sinh(u+\eta)}{\beta \cosh(u-\eta) + 2 \sinh(u-\eta)}, \qquad (2.21)$$

where β is a free parameter. Due to the automorphism (2.8) the solution for $K^+(u)$ is given by $K^-(-u-\rho, \frac{1}{4}\alpha)$ i.e.

$$K^{+}(u,\alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} k_{11}^{+}(u) & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & k_{33}^{+}(u) \end{pmatrix},$$
(2.22)

where

$$k_{11}^{+}(u) = \frac{\alpha \cosh(u+\eta) - 2\sinh(u+\eta)}{\alpha \cosh(u+\eta) + 2\sinh(u+\eta)}, \qquad k_{33}^{+}(u) = -\frac{\alpha \sinh u + 2\cosh u}{\alpha \sinh(u+2\eta) - 2\cosh(u+2\eta)}$$
(2.23)

and α is another free parameter.

2.2. The osp(2|1) model

The trigonometric solution of the graded YB equation corresponding to osp(1|2) in the fundamental representation has the form (2.15) with non-zero entries [34]:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1(u) &= \sinh(u+2\eta) \sinh(u+3\eta), & x_2(u) &= \sinh u \sinh(u+3\eta) \\ x_3(u) &= \sinh u \sinh(u+\eta), & x_4(u) &= \sinh u \sinh(u+3\eta) - \sinh 2\eta \sinh 3\eta \\ x_5(u) &= e^{-u} \sinh 2\eta \sinh(u+3\eta), & y_5(u) &= e^{u} \sinh 2\eta \sinh(u+3\eta) \\ x_6(u) &= -e^{-u-2\eta} \sinh 2\eta \sinh u, & y_6(u) &= e^{u+2\eta} \sinh 2\eta \sinh u \\ x_7(u) &= e^{-u} \sinh 2\eta (\sinh(u+3\eta) + e^{-\eta} \sinh u) \\ y_7(u) &= e^{u} \sinh 2\eta (\sinh(u+3\eta) + e^{\eta} \sinh u) \end{aligned}$$
(2.24)

This \mathcal{R} -matrix is regular and unitary, with $f'(u) = f(u) = x_1(u)x_1(-u)$. It is *PT*-symmetric and crossing-symmetric, with $\rho = 3\eta$ and

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-2\eta} & \\ & 1 \\ & & e^{2\eta} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (2.25)

Diagonal solutions for $K^-(u)$ have been obtained in [36]. It turns out that there are three solutions without free parameters, being $K^-(u) = 1$, $K^-(u) = F^+$ and $K^-(u) = F^-$, with

$$F^{\pm} = \begin{pmatrix} \mp e^{-2u} f^{(\pm)}(u) & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & \mp e^{2u} f^{(\pm)}(u) \end{pmatrix}$$
(2.26)

where we have defined

$$f^{(+)}(u) = \frac{\sinh(u+3\eta/2)}{\sinh(u-3\eta/2)}, \qquad f^{(-)}(u) = \frac{\cosh(u+3\eta/2)}{\cosh(u-3\eta/2)}.$$
 (2.27)

By the automorphism (2.8), three solutions $K^+(u)$ follow as $K^+(u) = M$, $K^+(u) = G^+$ and $K^+(u) = G^-$, with

$$G^{\pm} = \begin{pmatrix} \mp e^{2u+4\eta} g^{(\pm)}(u) & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & \mp e^{-2u-4\eta} g^{(\pm)}(u) \end{pmatrix},$$
(2.28)

where we have defined

$$g^{(+)}(u) = \frac{\sinh(u+3\eta/2)}{\sinh(u+9\eta/2)}, \qquad g^{(-)}(u) = \frac{\cosh(u+3\eta/2)}{\cosh(u+9\eta/2)}.$$
 (2.29)

We have thus nine possibilities for the commuting transfer matrix (2.11). We will only consider three types of boundary solutions, one for each pair $(K^-(u), K^+(u))$ defined by the automorphism (2.8): $(1, M), (F^+, G^+)$ and (F^-, G^-) .

3. Algebraic Bethe ansatz

In this section we have restricted ourselves in presenting only the main expressions for the open algebraic BA. More details can be obtained [26].

3.1. The reference state

Firstly, we write de double-monodromy matrix U(u) as

$$U(u) = T(u)K^{-}(u)T^{-1}(-u) = \begin{pmatrix} U_{11}(u) & U_{12}(u) & U_{13}(u) \\ U_{21}(u) & U_{22}(u) & U_{23}(u) \\ U_{31}(u) & U_{32}(u) & U_{33}(u) \end{pmatrix}$$
(3.1)

For the vertex models considered in this paper we can choose the highest weight vector of the monodromy matrix in a lattice of N sites as the even (bosonic) completely unoccupied state

$$|0\rangle = \prod_{k=1}^{N} \stackrel{s}{\otimes} |0\rangle_{k}, \qquad |0\rangle_{k} = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (3.2)$$

where $|0\rangle_k$ is the local reference state at the *k*th lattice site with three components. Applying U(u) on $|0\rangle$ we can find

$$U_{ii}(u) |0\rangle \neq 0 \qquad (i = 1, 2, 3)$$

$$U_{ij}(u) |0\rangle = 0, \qquad (i > j), \qquad U_{ij}(u) |0\rangle \neq \{0, |0\rangle\}, \quad (i < j).$$

Introducing new operators:

$$\mathcal{D}_{1}(u) = U_{11}(u), \qquad \mathcal{B}_{1}(u) = U_{12}(u), \qquad \mathcal{B}_{2}(u) = U_{13}(u)$$
(3.3)

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{D}_{1}(u) = \mathcal{D}_{11}(u), & \mathcal{D}_{12}(u), & \mathcal{D}_{22}(u) = \mathcal{D}_{13}(u) \\ \mathcal{C}_{1}(u) = U_{21}(u), & \mathcal{D}_{2}(u) = U_{22}(u) - f_{1}(u)\mathcal{D}_{1}(u), & \mathcal{B}_{3}(u) = U_{23}(u) \\ \mathcal{C}_{2}(u) = U_{31}(u), & \mathcal{C}_{3}(u) = U_{32}(u), & \mathcal{D}_{3}(u) = U_{33}(u) - f_{2}(u)\mathcal{D}_{1}(u) - f_{3}(u)\mathcal{D}_{2}(u), \\ \end{array}$$

$$(3.4)$$

where

$$f_{1}(u) = \frac{y_{5}(2u)}{x_{1}(2u)}, \qquad f_{2}(u) = \frac{y_{7}(2u)}{x_{1}(2u)},$$

$$f_{3}(u) = -\frac{x_{1}(2u)y_{5}(2u) - x_{5}(2u)y_{7}(2u)}{x_{1}(2u)x_{4}(2u) + x_{5}(2u)y_{5}(2u)},$$

$$f_{4}(u) = \frac{x_{4}(2u)y_{7}(2u) + y_{5}^{2}(2u)}{x_{1}(2u)x_{4}(2u) + x_{5}(2u)y_{5}(2u)}.$$
(3.5)

The action of $U(u) \rightarrow U(u)$ on the reference state has the usual BA form

$$\mathcal{U}(u) |0\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{X}_1(u) |0\rangle & * & ** \\ 0 & \mathcal{X}_2(u) |0\rangle & ** \\ 0 & 0 & \mathcal{X}_3(u) |0\rangle \end{pmatrix},$$
(3.6)

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}_{1}(u) &= k_{11}^{-}(u) \frac{x_{1}^{2N}(u)}{f^{N}(u)}, \\ \mathcal{X}_{2}(u) &= [k_{22}^{-}(u) - k_{11}^{-}(u)f_{1}(u)] \frac{x_{2}^{2N}(u)}{f^{N}(u)}, \\ \mathcal{X}_{3}(u) &= [k_{33}^{-}(u) - k_{22}^{-}(u)f_{3}(u) - k_{11}^{-}(u)f_{4}(u)] \frac{x_{3}^{2N}(u)}{f^{N}(u)}. \end{aligned}$$
(3.7)

The transfer matrix $t(u) = \text{str}(K^+U)$, with diagonal left reflection $K^{(+)} = \text{diag}(k_{11}^+, k_{22}^+, k_{33}^+)$ and BFB grading, has the form

$$t(u) = k_{11}^{+}(u)U_{11}(u) - k_{22}^{+}(u)U_{22}(u) + k_{33}^{+}(u)U_{33}(u)$$

= $\Omega_{1}(u)\mathcal{D}_{1}(u) + \Omega_{2}(u)\mathcal{D}_{2}(u) + \Omega_{3}(u)\mathcal{D}_{3}(u),$ (3.8)

where

$$\Omega_{1}(u) = k_{11}^{+}(u) - f_{1}(u)k_{22}^{+}(u) + f_{2}(u)k_{33}^{+}(u),$$

$$\Omega_{2}(u) = -k_{22}^{+}(u) + f_{3}(u)k_{33}^{+}(u),$$

$$\Omega_{3}(u) = k_{33}^{+}(u).$$
(3.9)

3.2. The fundamental commutation relations

Taking into account the fundamental relation

$$\mathcal{R}_{12}(u-v)\mathcal{U}_{1}(u)\mathcal{R}_{21}(u+v)\mathcal{U}_{2}(v) = \mathcal{U}_{2}(v)\mathcal{R}_{12}(u+v)\mathcal{U}_{1}(u)\mathcal{R}_{21}(u-v),$$
(3.10)

we can obtain the following commutation relations necessary to fix the one-particle state $\Psi_1(u_1) = \mathcal{B}_1(u_1) |0\rangle$ as an eigenstate of the transfer matrix t(u) (3.8):

$$\mathcal{D}_{1}(u)\mathcal{B}_{1}(u_{1}) = a_{11}(u, u_{1})\mathcal{B}_{1}(u_{1})\mathcal{D}_{1}(u) + a_{12}(u, u_{1})\mathcal{B}_{1}(u)\mathcal{D}_{1}(u_{1}) + a_{13}(u, u_{1})\mathcal{B}_{1}(u)\mathcal{D}_{2}(u_{1}) + a_{14}(u, u_{1})\mathcal{B}_{2}(u)\mathcal{C}_{1}(u_{1}) + a_{15}(u, u_{1})\mathcal{B}_{2}(u)\mathcal{C}_{3}(u_{1}) + a_{16}(u, u_{1})\mathcal{B}_{2}(u_{1})\mathcal{C}_{1}(u),$$

$$(3.11)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{2}(u)\mathcal{B}_{1}(u_{1}) = a_{21}(u, u_{1})\mathcal{B}_{1}(u_{1})\mathcal{D}_{2}(u) + a_{22}(u, u_{1})\mathcal{B}_{1}(u)\mathcal{D}_{1}(u_{1}) + a_{23}(u, u_{1})\mathcal{B}_{1}(u)\mathcal{D}_{2}(u_{1}) + a_{24}(u, u_{1})\mathcal{B}_{3}(u)\mathcal{D}_{1}(u_{1}) + a_{25}(u, u_{1})\mathcal{B}_{3}(u)\mathcal{D}_{2}(u_{1}) + a_{26}(u, u_{1})\mathcal{B}_{2}(u)\mathcal{C}_{1}(u_{1}) + a_{27}(u, u_{1})\mathcal{B}_{2}(u)\mathcal{C}_{3}(u_{1}) + a_{28}(u, u_{1})\mathcal{B}_{2}(u_{1})\mathcal{C}_{1}(u) + a_{29}(u, u_{1})\mathcal{B}_{2}(u_{1})\mathcal{C}_{3}(u),$$

$$(3.12)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{3}(u)\mathcal{B}_{1}(u_{1}) = a_{31}(u, u_{1})\mathcal{B}_{1}(u_{1})\mathcal{D}_{3}(u) + a_{32}(u, u_{1})\mathcal{B}_{1}(u)\mathcal{D}_{1}(u_{1}) + a_{33}(u, u_{1})\mathcal{B}_{1}(u)\mathcal{D}_{2}(u_{1}) + a_{34}(u, u_{1})\mathcal{B}_{3}(u)\mathcal{D}_{1}(u_{1}) + a_{35}(u, u_{1})\mathcal{B}_{3}(u)\mathcal{D}_{2}(u_{1}) + a_{36}(u, u_{1})\mathcal{B}_{2}(u)\mathcal{C}_{1}(u_{1}) + a_{37}(u, u_{1})\mathcal{B}_{2}(u)\mathcal{C}_{3}(u_{1}) + a_{38}(u, u_{1})\mathcal{B}_{2}(u_{1})\mathcal{C}_{1}(u) + a_{39}(u, u_{1})\mathcal{B}_{2}(u_{1})\mathcal{C}_{3}(u).$$

$$(3.13)$$

For the two-particle eigenstate of t(u)

$$\Psi_{2}(u_{1}, u_{2}) = \mathcal{B}_{1}(u_{1})\mathcal{B}_{1}(u_{2}) |0\rangle + G_{d_{1}}(u_{1}, u_{2})\mathcal{B}_{2}(u_{1})\mathcal{D}_{1}(u_{2}) |0\rangle + G_{d_{2}}(u_{1}, u_{2})\mathcal{B}_{2}(u_{1})\mathcal{D}_{2}(u_{2}) |0\rangle , \qquad (3.14)$$

the necessary commutation relations are

$$\mathcal{B}_{1}(u_{1})\mathcal{B}_{1}(u_{2}) = \omega(u_{1}, u_{2})[\mathcal{B}_{1}(u_{2})\mathcal{B}_{1}(u_{1}) + G_{d_{1}}(u_{2}, u_{1})\mathcal{B}_{2}(u_{2})\mathcal{D}_{1}(u_{1}) + G_{d_{2}}(u_{2}, u_{1})\mathcal{B}_{2}(u_{2})\mathcal{D}_{2}(u_{1})] - G_{d_{1}}(u_{1}, u_{2})\mathcal{B}_{2}(u_{1})\mathcal{D}_{1}(u_{2}) - G_{d_{2}}(u_{1}, u_{2})\mathcal{B}_{2}(u_{1})\mathcal{D}_{2}(u_{2}),$$
(3.15)

$$\mathcal{D}_{1}(u)\mathcal{B}_{2}(v) = b_{11}(u,v)\mathcal{B}_{2}(v)\mathcal{D}_{1}(u) + b_{12}(u,v)\mathcal{B}_{2}(u)\mathcal{D}_{1}(v) + b_{13}(u,v)\mathcal{B}_{2}(u)\mathcal{D}_{2}(v) + b_{14}(u,v)\mathcal{B}_{2}(u)\mathcal{D}_{3}(v) + b_{15}(u,v)\mathcal{B}_{1}(u)\mathcal{B}_{1}(v) + b_{16}(u,v)\mathcal{B}_{1}(u)\mathcal{B}_{3}(v), \quad (3.16)$$
$$\mathcal{D}_{1}(u)\mathcal{B}_{2}(v) = b_{12}(u,v)\mathcal{B}_{2}(v)\mathcal{D}_{2}(v) + b_{13}(u,v)\mathcal{B}_{2}(v)\mathcal{D}_{2}(v) + b_{14}(u,v)\mathcal{B}_{2}(v)\mathcal{D}_{2}(v) + b_{15}(u,v)\mathcal{B}_{1}(v)\mathcal{B}_{2}(v) + b_{16}(u,v)\mathcal{B}_{1}(v)\mathcal{B}_{2}(v) + b_{16}(u,v)\mathcal{B}_{2}(v)\mathcal{B}_{2}(v) + b_{16}(u,v)\mathcal{B}_{2}(v)\mathcal{B}_{2}(v)\mathcal{B}_{2}(v) + b_{16}(u,v)\mathcal{B}_{2}($$

$$\mathcal{D}_{2}(u)\mathcal{B}_{2}(v) = b_{21}(u, v)\mathcal{B}_{2}(v)\mathcal{D}_{2}(u) + b_{22}(u, v)\mathcal{B}_{2}(u)\mathcal{D}_{1}(v) + b_{23}(u, v)\mathcal{B}_{2}(u)\mathcal{D}_{2}(v) + b_{24}(u, v)\mathcal{B}_{2}(u)\mathcal{D}_{3}(v) + b_{25}(u, v)\mathcal{B}_{1}(u)\mathcal{B}_{1}(v) + b_{26}(u, v)\mathcal{B}_{1}(u)\mathcal{B}_{3}(v) + b_{27}(u, v)\mathcal{B}_{3}(u)\mathcal{B}_{1}(v) + b_{28}(u, v)\mathcal{B}_{3}(u)\mathcal{B}_{3}(v),$$
(3.17)

$$\mathcal{D}_{3}(u)\mathcal{B}_{2}(v) = b_{31}(u,v)\mathcal{B}_{2}(v)\mathcal{D}_{3}(u) + b_{32}(u,v)\mathcal{B}_{2}(u)\mathcal{D}_{1}(v) + b_{33}(u,v)\mathcal{B}_{2}(u)\mathcal{D}_{2}(v) + b_{34}(u,v)\mathcal{B}_{2}(u)\mathcal{D}_{3}(v) + b_{35}(u,v)\mathcal{B}_{1}(u)\mathcal{B}_{1}(v) + b_{36}(u,v)\mathcal{B}_{1}(u)\mathcal{B}_{3}(v) + b_{37}(u,v)\mathcal{B}_{3}(u)\mathcal{B}_{1}(v) + b_{38}(u,v)\mathcal{B}_{3}(u)\mathcal{B}_{3}(v),$$
(3.18)

$$C_{1}(u)\mathcal{B}_{1}(v) = c_{11}(u, v)\mathcal{B}_{1}(v)\mathcal{C}_{1}(u) + c_{12}(u, v)\mathcal{B}_{1}(v)\mathcal{C}_{3}(v) + c_{13}(u, v)\mathcal{B}_{1}(u)\mathcal{C}_{3}(v) + c_{14}(u, v)\mathcal{B}_{2}(u)\mathcal{C}_{3}(v) + c_{15}(u, v)\mathcal{B}_{2}(v)\mathcal{C}_{2}(u) + c_{16}(u, v)\mathcal{D}_{1}(v)\mathcal{D}_{1}(u) + c_{17}(u, v)\mathcal{D}_{1}(v)\mathcal{D}_{2}(u) + c_{18}(u, v)\mathcal{D}_{1}(u)\mathcal{D}_{1}(v) + c_{19}(u, v)\mathcal{D}_{1}(u)\mathcal{D}_{2}(v) + c_{110}(u, v)\mathcal{D}_{2}(u)\mathcal{D}_{1}(v) + c_{111}(u, v)\mathcal{D}_{2}(u)\mathcal{D}_{2}(v),$$
(3.19)

$$C_{3}(u)\mathcal{B}_{1}(v) = c_{21}(u,v)\mathcal{B}_{1}(v)\mathcal{C}_{1}(u) + c_{22}(u,v)\mathcal{B}_{1}(v)\mathcal{C}_{3}(v) + c_{23}(u,v)\mathcal{B}_{1}(u)\mathcal{C}_{3}(v) + c_{24}(u,v)\mathcal{B}_{2}(u)\mathcal{C}_{3}(v) + c_{25}(u,v)\mathcal{B}_{2}(v)\mathcal{C}_{2}(u) + c_{26}(u,v)\mathcal{D}_{1}(v)\mathcal{D}_{1}(u) + c_{27}(u,v)\mathcal{D}_{1}(v)\mathcal{D}_{2}(u) + c_{28}(u,v)\mathcal{D}_{1}(u)\mathcal{D}_{3}(v) + c_{29}(u,v)\mathcal{D}_{1}(u)\mathcal{D}_{2}(v) + c_{210}(u,v)\mathcal{D}_{1}(u)\mathcal{D}_{2}(v) + c_{211}(u,v)\mathcal{D}_{2}(u)\mathcal{D}_{1}(v) + c_{212}(u,v)\mathcal{D}_{2}(u)\mathcal{D}_{2}(v) + c_{213}(u,v)\mathcal{D}_{3}(u)\mathcal{D}_{1}(v) + c_{214}(u,v)\mathcal{D}_{3}(u)\mathcal{D}_{1}(v))$$
(3.20)

where

$$\omega(u_1, u_2) = -\frac{x_3(u_1 - u_2)x_4(u_1 - u_2) - x_6(u_1 - u_2)y_6(u_1 - u_2)}{x_1(u_1 - u_2)x_3(u_1 - u_2)}$$
(3.21)

$$G_{d_1}(u_1, u_2) = \frac{x_6(u_1 - u_2)}{x_3(u_1 - u_2)} \frac{x_2(2u_2)}{x_1(2u_2)},$$
(3.22)

$$G_{d_2}(u_1, u_2) = -\frac{x_6(u_1 + u_2)}{x_2(u_1 + u_2)}.$$
(3.23)

3.3. The n-particle state

For the general case we can seek for operator valued functions with a recurrence relation of the form

$$\Phi_{n}(u, \dots, u_{n}) = \mathcal{B}_{1}(u_{1})\Phi_{n-1}(u_{2}, \dots, u_{n}) + \mathcal{B}_{2}(u_{1})\sum_{i=2}^{n} F_{1}^{(i)}(u_{1}, \dots, u_{n})\Phi_{n-2}(u_{2}, \dots, \overset{\vee}{u_{i}}, \dots, u_{n})\mathcal{D}_{1}(u_{i}) + \mathcal{B}_{2}(u_{1})\sum_{i=2}^{n} F_{2}^{(i)}(u_{1}, \dots, u_{n})\Phi_{n-2}(u_{2}, \dots, \overset{\vee}{u_{i}}, \dots, u_{n})\mathcal{D}_{2}(u_{i})$$
(3.24)

with $\Phi_0 = 1$. It was shown in [25] that the above operator is normal ordered satisfying n - 1 exchange conditions

$$\Phi_n(u_1, \dots, u_i, u_{i+1}, \dots, u_n) = \omega(u_i, u_{i+1}) \Phi_n(u_1, \dots, u_{i+1}, u_i, \dots, u_n)$$
(3.25)

provided the functions $F_{\alpha}^{(i)}(u_1, \ldots, u_n)$ are given by

$$F_{\alpha}^{(i)}(u_1,\ldots,u_n) = \prod_{j=2}^{i-1} \omega(u_j,u_i) \prod_{k=2,k\neq i}^n a_{\alpha 1}(u_i,u_k) G_{d_{\alpha}}(u_1,u_i), \qquad (\alpha = 1,2).$$
(3.26)

Therefore the *n*-particle state will be given by

$$\Psi_n(u_1,\ldots,u_n) = \Phi_n(u,\ldots,u_n) |0\rangle$$
(3.27)

and the action of the operators $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(u)$, $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$, on this state will be represented by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(u)\Psi_{n}(u_{1},\ldots,u_{n}) &= \mathcal{X}_{\alpha}(u)\prod_{i=1}^{n}a_{\alpha1}(u,u_{i})\Psi_{n}(u_{1},\ldots,u_{n}) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{n}\prod_{j=1}^{i-1}\omega(u_{j},u_{i})[\mathcal{X}_{1}(u)a_{\alpha2}(u,u_{i})\prod_{j\neq i}^{n}a_{11}(u,u_{j}) + \mathcal{X}_{2}(u)a_{\alpha3}(u,u_{i})) \\ &\times \prod_{i\neq i}^{n}a_{21}(u,u_{j})]\mathcal{B}_{1}(u)\Psi_{n-1}(\overset{\vee}{u}_{i}) + (1-\delta_{\alpha,1})\sum_{i=1}^{n}\prod_{j=1}^{i-1}\omega(u_{j},u_{i})[\mathcal{X}_{1}(u)a_{\alpha4}(u,u_{i})) \\ &\times \prod_{j\neq i}^{n}a_{11}(u,u_{j}) + \mathcal{X}_{2}(u)a_{\alpha5}(u,u_{i})\prod_{j\neq i}^{n}a_{21}(u,u_{j})]\mathcal{B}_{3}(u)\Psi_{n-1}(\overset{\vee}{u}_{i}) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\sum_{j=i+1}^{n}\left\{\mathcal{X}_{1}(u_{i})\mathcal{X}_{1}(u_{j})\prod_{k\neq i,j}^{n}a_{11}(u_{i},u_{k})\prod_{l\neq i,j}^{n}a_{11}(u_{j},u_{l})H_{\alpha1}(u_{i},u_{j})\right. \\ &+ \mathcal{X}_{2}(u_{i})\mathcal{X}_{1}(u_{j})\prod_{k\neq i,j}^{n}a_{21}(u_{i},u_{k})\prod_{l\neq i,j}^{n}a_{21}(u_{j},u_{l})H_{\alpha3}(u_{i},u_{j}) \\ &+ \mathcal{X}_{2}(u_{i})\mathcal{X}_{2}(u_{j})\prod_{k\neq i,j}^{n}a_{21}(u_{i},u_{k})\prod_{l\neq i,j}^{n}a_{21}(u_{j},u_{l})H_{\alpha4}(u_{i},u_{j}) \\ &+ \mathcal{X}_{2}(u_{i})\mathcal{X}_{2}(u_{j})\prod_{k\neq i,j}^{n}a_{21}(u_{i},u_{k})\prod_{l\neq i,j}^{n}a_{21}(u_{j},u_{l})H_{\alpha4}(u_{i},u_{j}) \\ &+ \mathcal{X}_{1}(u_{i})\mathcal{X}_{2}(u_{j})\prod_{k\neq i,j}^{n}a_{21}(u_{i},u_{k})\prod_{l\neq i,j}^{n}a_{21}(u_{j},u_{l})H_{\alpha4}(u_{i},u_{j}) \\ &+ \mathcal{X}_{1}(u_{i})\mathcal{X}_{2}(u_{j})\prod_{k\neq i,j}^{n}a_{21}(u_{i},u_{k})\prod_{l\neq i,j}^{n}a_{21}(u_{j},u_{l})H_{\alpha4}(u_{i},u_{j}) \\ &+ \mathcal{X}_{2}(u_{i})\mathcal{X}_{2}(u_{j})\prod_{k\neq i,j}^{n}a_{21}(u_{i},u_{k})\prod_{l\neq i,j}^{n}a_{21}(u_{j},u_{l})H_{\alpha4}(u_{i},u_{j}) \\ &+ \mathcal{X}_{2}(u_{i})\mathcal{X}_{2}(u_{j})\prod_{k\neq i,j}^{n}a_{21}(u_{i},u_{k})\prod_{l\neq i,j}^{n}a_{21}(u_{j},u_{k})H_{\alpha4}(u_{i},u_{j}) \\ &+ \mathcal{X}_{2}(u_{i})\mathcal{X}_{2}(u_{j})\prod_{k\neq i,j}^{n}a_{21}(u_{i},u_{k})\prod_{l\neq i,j}^{n}a_{21}(u_{j},u_{k})H_{\alpha4}(u_{i},u_{j}) \\ &+ \mathcal{X}_{2}(u_{i})\mathcal{X}_{2}(u_{j})\prod_{k\neq i,j}^{n}a_{21}(u_{i},u_{j})\mathcal{X}_{2}(u_{j},u_{j}) \\ &+ \mathcal{X}_{2}(u_{i})\mathcal{X}_{2}(u_{j})\prod_{k\neq i,j}^{n}a_{21}(u_{i},u_{k})\prod_{k\neq i,j}^{n}a_{21}(u_{j},u_{k})H_{\alpha4}(u_{i},u_{j}) \\ &+ \mathcal{X}_{2}(u_{i})\mathcal{X}_{2}(u_{j})\prod_{k\neq i,j}^{n}a_{21}(u_{i},u_{k})\prod_{k\neq i,j}^{n}a_{21}(u_{k},u_{k})\prod_{k\neq i,j}^{n}a_{21}(u_{k},u_{k}) \\ &+ \mathcal{X}_{2}(u_{k})\mathcal{X}_{2}(u_{k})\prod_{k\neq i,j}^{n}a_{k}(u_{k},u_{k})\prod_{k\neq i,j}^{n}a_{k}(u_{$$

where

$$\begin{split} H_{11}(u_1, u_2) &= a_{14}(u, u_1) \left(c_{16}(u_1, u_2) + c_{18}(u_1, u_2) \right) + a_{15}(u, u_1) \left(c_{26}(u_1, u_2) + c_{29}(u_1, u_2) \right) \\ &\quad + b_{12}(u, u_1)G_{d_1}(u_1, u_2) + \omega(u_1, u)a_{11}(u, u_1)a_{12}(u, u_2)G_{d_1}(u, u_1) \\ H_{12}(u_1, u_2) &= a_{14}(u, u_1) \left(c_{17}(u_1, u_2) + c_{110}(u_1, u_2) \right) + a_{15}(u, u_1) \left(c_{27}(u_1, u_2) + c_{211}(u_1, u_2) \right) \\ &\quad + b_{13}(u, u_1)G_{d_1}(u_1, u_2) + \omega(u_1, u)a_{11}(u, u_1)a_{12}(u, u_2)G_{d_2}(u, u_1) \\ H_{13}(u_1, u_2) &= a_{14}(u, u_1)c_{19}(u_1, u_2) + a_{15}(u, u_1)c_{210}(u_1, u_2) + b_{12}(u, u_1)G_{d_2}(u_1, u_2) \\ &\quad + \omega(u_1, u)a_{11}(u, u_1)a_{13}(u, u_2)G_{d_1}(u, u_1) \\ H_{14}(u_1, u_2) &= a_{14}(u, u_1)c_{111}(u_1, u_2) + a_{15}(u, u_1)c_{212}(u_1, u_2) + b_{13}(u, u_1)G_{d_2}(u_1, u_2) \end{split}$$

$$H_{14}(u_1, u_2) = a_{14}(u, u_1)c_{111}(u_1, u_2) + a_{15}(u, u_1)c_{212}(u_1, u_2) + b_{13}(u, u_1)G_{d_2}(u_1, u_2) + \omega(u_1, u)a_{11}(u, u_1)a_{13}(u, u_2)G_{d_2}(u, u_1)$$
(3.29)

and

$$\begin{split} H_{j1}(u_1, u_2) &= a_{j6}(u, u_1) \left(c_{16}(u_1, u_2) + c_{18}(u_1, u_2) \right) + a_{j7}(u, u_1) \left(c_{26}(u_1, u_2) + c_{29}(u_1, u_2) \right) \\ &\quad + b_{j2}(u, u_1) G_{d_1}(u_1, u_2) + \omega(u_1, u) a_{j1}(u, u_1) a_{j2}(u, u_2) G_{d_1}(u, u_1) \\ &\quad + a_{j1}(u, u_1) a_{j4}(u, u_2) d_{13}(u_1, u) \\ H_{j2}(u_1, u_2) &= a_{j6}(u, u_1) \left(c_{17}(u_1, u_2) + c_{110}(u_1, u_2) \right) + a_{j7}(u, u_1) \left(c_{27}(u_1, u_2) + c_{211}(u_1, u_2) \right) \end{split}$$

$$+ b_{j3}(u, u_1)G_{d_1}(u_1, u_2) + \omega(u_1, u)a_{j1}(u, u_1)a_{j2}(u, u_2)G_{d_2}(u, u_1) + a_{j1}(u, u_1)a_{j4}(u, u_2)d_{14}(u_1, u) H_{j3}(u_1, u_2) = a_{j6}(u, u_1)c_{19}(u_1, u_2) + a_{j7}(u, u_1)c_{210}(u_1, u_2) + b_{j2}(u, u_1)G_{d_2}(u_1, u_2) + \omega(u_1, u)a_{j1}(u, u_1)a_{j3}(u, u_2)G_{d_1}(u, u_1) + a_{j1}(u, u_1)a_{j5}(u, u_2)d_{13}(u_1, u) H_{j4}(u_1, u_2) = a_{j6}(u, u_1)c_{111}(u_1, u_2) + a_{j7}(u, u_1)c_{212}(u_1, u_2) + b_{j3}(u, u_1)G_{d_2}(u_1, u_2) + \omega(u_1, u)a_{j1}(u, u_1)a_{j3}(u, u_2)G_{d_2}(u, u_1) + a_{j1}(u, u_1)a_{j5}(u, u_2)d_{14}(u_1, u).$$
(3.30)

Finally, the corresponding *n*-particle eigenvalue problem will be

$$t(u)\Psi_n(u_1,\ldots,u_n) = \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^3 \Omega_\alpha(u)\mathcal{X}_\alpha(u)\prod_{i=1}^n a_{\alpha 1}(u,u_i)\right)\Psi_n(u_1,\ldots,u_n)$$
(3.31)

provided that the BA equations are satisfied

$$\frac{\mathcal{X}_1(u_k)}{\mathcal{X}_2(u_k)} = \Theta(u_k) \prod_{j=1, j \neq k}^n \frac{a_{21}(u_k, u_j)}{a_{11}(u_k, u_j)}, \qquad (k = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$
(3.32)

where

$$\Theta(u_k) = -\frac{\Omega_2(u)a_{25}(u, u_k) + \Omega_3(u)a_{35}(u, u_k)}{\Omega_2(u)a_{24}(u, u_k) + \Omega_3(u)a_{34}(u, u_k)}.$$
(3.33)

4. Explicit solutions

In this section explicit expressions of the eigenvalue problem are presented for both models,. First we recall the fundamental relation (3.10) to get the coefficients $a_{ij}(u, v)$ which appear effectively in the BA expressions (3.31) and (3.32):

$$\begin{aligned} a_{11}(u,v) &= \frac{x_1(u-v)}{x_2(u-v)} \frac{x_2(u+v)}{x_1(u+v)} \\ a_{21}(u,v) &= -\omega(u,v) \left[\frac{x_1(u+v)x_4(u+v) + x_5(u+v)y_5(u+v)}{x_1(u+v)x_2(u+v)} \right] \\ a_{31}(u,v) &= \frac{x_2(u-v)}{x_3(u-v)} \left[\frac{x_2(u+v)^2 + x_6(u+v)y_6(u+v)}{x_2(u+v)x_3(u+v)} \right] \end{aligned}$$
(4.1)
$$a_{24}(u,v) &= -\left[\frac{x_6(u-v)}{x_3(u-v)} \frac{x_3(u+v)}{x_2(u+v)} - f_1(v) \frac{x_6(u+v)}{x_2(u+v)} \right] \\ a_{25}(u,v) &= \frac{x_6(u+v)}{x_2(u+v)} \\ a_{34}(u,v) &= -f_3(u) \left[f_1(v) \frac{x_6(u+v)}{x_2(u+v)} - \frac{x_6(u-v)}{x_3(u-v)} \frac{x_3(u+v)}{x_2(u+v)} \right] \\ &+ f_1(v) \frac{y_6(u-v)}{x_3(u-v)} \left[\frac{x_6(u+v)y_6(u+v) + x_2^2(u+v)}{x_2(u+v)x_3(u+v)} \right] \\ &- \left[\frac{x_6(u-v)y_6(u-v) + x_2^2(u-v)}{x_3^2(u-v)} \right] \frac{y_6(u+v)}{x_2(u+v)} \\ a_{35}(u,v) &= -f_3(u) \frac{x_6(u+v)}{x_2(u+v)} + \frac{y_6(u-v)}{x_3(u-v)} \left[\frac{x_6(u+v)y_6(u+v) + x_2^2(u+v)}{x_2(u+v)x_3(u+v)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

with the $f_i(u)$ given by (3.5).

4.1. $sl(2|1)^{(2)} model$

Substituting the matrix elements of the \mathcal{R} matrix (2.19) and the matrix elements of the *K* matrices (2.21) and (2.23) for this model we get the following expressions:

$$\Omega_1(u) = \frac{\cosh(2u+3\eta)}{\cosh(2u+\eta)} \frac{\alpha \sinh u - 2\cosh u}{\alpha \sinh(u+2\eta) - 2\cosh(u+2\eta)} \frac{\alpha \cosh(u+\eta) - 2\sinh(u+\eta)}{\alpha \cosh(u+\eta) + 2\sinh(u+\eta)},$$
(4.3)

$$\Omega_{\alpha}(u) = -\frac{\sinh(2u+2\eta)}{\alpha \sinh u - 2\cosh u}$$
(4.4)

$$\Omega_2(u) = -\frac{1}{\sinh(2u)} \frac{1}{\alpha \sinh(u+2\eta) - 2\cosh(u+2\eta)},$$
(4.4)

$$\Omega_3(u) = -\frac{\alpha \sinh u + 2\cosh u}{\alpha \sinh(u + 2\eta) - 2\cosh(u + 2\eta)},\tag{4.5}$$

$$\mathcal{X}_1(u) = -\frac{\beta \sinh u + 2\cosh u}{\beta \sinh u - 2\cosh u} \frac{x_1^{2N}(u)}{f^N(u)},\tag{4.6}$$

$$\mathcal{X}_2(u) = \frac{\sinh(2u)}{\sinh(2u+2\eta)} \frac{\beta \sinh(u+2\eta) - 2\cosh(u+2\eta)}{\beta \sinh u - 2\cosh u} \frac{x_2^{2N}(u)}{f^N(u)},\tag{4.7}$$

$$\mathcal{X}_{3}(u) = \frac{\cosh(2u-\eta)}{\cosh(2u+\eta)} \frac{\beta \sinh(u+2\eta) - 2\cosh(u+2\eta)}{\beta \sinh u - 2\cosh u} \\ \times \frac{\beta \cosh(u+\eta) - 2\sinh(u+\eta)}{\beta \cosh(u-\eta) + 2\sinh(u-\eta)} \frac{x_{3}^{2N}(u)}{f^{N}(u)},$$
(4.8)

and

$$\Theta(u_i) = \frac{\sinh(2u_i + 2\eta)}{\sinh(2u_i)} \frac{\alpha \cosh(u_i + \eta) + 2\sinh(u_i + \eta)}{\alpha \cosh(u_i + \eta) - 2\sinh(u_i + \eta)}.$$
(4.9)

From these data one can see that the *n*-particle state $\Psi_n(\{u_i\})$ is an eigenfuction of the transfer matrix (3.8) for the $sl(2|1)^{(2)}$ vertex model with eigenvalue

$$\Lambda_n(u, \{u_i\}) = \Omega_1(u)\mathcal{X}_1(u) \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\sinh(u+u_i-\eta)}{\sinh(u+u_i+\eta)} \frac{\sinh(u-u_i-\eta)}{\sinh(u-u_i+\eta)} - \Omega_2(u)\mathcal{X}_2(u) \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\sinh(u+u_i-\eta)}{\sinh(u+u_i+\eta)} \frac{\sinh(u-u_i-\eta)}{\sinh(u-u_i+\eta)} \times \frac{\cosh(u+u_i+2\eta)}{\cosh(u+u_i)} \frac{\cosh(u-u_i+2\eta)}{\cosh(u-u_i)} + \Omega_3(u)\mathcal{X}_3(u) \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\cosh(u+u_i+2\eta)}{\cosh(u+u_i)} \frac{\cosh(u-u_i+2\eta)}{\cosh(u-u_i)}$$
(4.10)

provided that the parameters $\{u_i\}$ satisfy the BA equations

$$\left(\frac{\sinh(u_i+\eta)}{\sinh(u_i-\eta)}\right)^{2N} = -\frac{\alpha\cosh u_i + 2\sinh u_i}{\alpha\cosh u_i - 2\sinh u_i} \frac{\beta\sinh(u_i+\eta) - 2\cosh(u_i+\eta)}{\beta\sinh(u_i-\eta) + 2\cosh(u_i-\eta)} \times \prod_{\{j\neq i\}=1}^n \frac{\cosh(u_i+u_j+\eta)}{\cosh(u_i+u_j-\eta)} \frac{\cosh(u_i-u_j+\eta)}{\cosh(u_i-u_j-\eta)} \qquad i = 1, 2, ..., n, \quad (4.11)$$

where we have used the shifts $u_i \rightarrow u_i = u_i - \eta$ to bring these expressions into a symmetric form in η .

The formulation of this model in terms of the QISM presented here is new. However, one can verify that our results give the energy eigenspectrum previously obtained in the framework of coordinate BA by Fireman *et al* [29].

4.2. osp(2|1) model

For this model the K matrices have no free parameters but we have to consider three cases.

4.2.1. The (1, M) case. In this case we have

$$\Omega_1(u) = \frac{\sinh(2u+\eta)}{\sinh(2u+2\eta)} \frac{\sinh(2u+6\eta)}{\sinh(2u+3\eta)}$$

$$\Omega_2(u) = -e^{2\eta} \frac{\sinh(2u+6\eta)}{\sinh(2u+4\eta)}$$

$$\Omega_3(u) = e^{2\eta}$$
(4.12)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}_{1}(u) &= \frac{x_{1}^{2N}(u)}{f^{N}(u)} \\ \mathcal{X}_{2}(u) &= e^{-2\eta} \frac{\sinh(2u)}{\sinh(2u+2\eta)} \frac{x_{2}^{2N}(u)}{f^{N}(u)} \\ \mathcal{X}_{3}(u) &= e^{-2\eta} \frac{\sinh(2u)}{\sinh(2u+4\eta)} \frac{\sinh(2u+5\eta)}{\sinh(2u+3\eta)} \frac{x_{3}^{2N}(u)}{f^{N}(u)} \end{aligned}$$
(4.13)

and

$$\Theta(u_i) = e^{2\eta} \frac{\sinh(2u_i + 2\eta)}{\sinh(2u_i)}, \qquad i = 1, \dots, n.$$
(4.14)

Therefore, the *n*-particle state $\Psi_n(\{u_i\})$ is an eigenfuction of the transfer matrix (3.8) for the osp(2|1) vertex model with boundaries (1, M). The corresponding eigenvalue is given by

$$\Lambda_{n}(u, \{u_{i}\}) = \frac{\sinh(2u+\eta)}{\sinh(2u+2\eta)} \frac{\sinh(2u+6\eta)}{\sinh(2u+3\eta)} \frac{x_{1}^{2N}(u)}{f^{N}(u)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\sin(u+u_{i})}{\sinh(u+u_{i}+2\eta)} \frac{\sinh(u-u_{i}-2\eta)}{\sinh(u-u_{i})} \\ - \frac{\sinh(2u+6\eta)}{\sinh(2u+4\eta)} \frac{\sinh(2u)}{\sinh(2u+2\eta)} \frac{x_{2}^{2N}(u)}{f^{N}(u)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\sin(u+u_{i}+4\eta)}{\sinh(u+u_{i}+3\eta)} \frac{\sinh(u+u_{i}+\eta)}{\sinh(u+u_{i}+2\eta)} \\ \times \frac{\sin(u-u_{i}+2\eta)}{\sinh(u-u_{i})} \frac{\sinh(u-u_{i}-\eta)}{\sinh(u-u_{i}+\eta)} \\ + \frac{\sinh(2u)}{\sinh(2u+4\eta)} \frac{\sinh(2u+5\eta)}{\sinh(2u+3\eta)} \frac{x_{3}^{2N}(u)}{f^{N}(u)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\sinh(u+u_{i}+5\eta)}{\sinh(u+u_{i}+3\eta)} \frac{\sinh(u-u_{i}+3\eta)}{\sinh(u-u_{i}+\eta)}$$

$$(4.15)$$

provided that its parameters $\{u_i\}$ are solutions of the BA equations

$$\left(\frac{\sinh(u_{i}+2\eta)}{\sinh u_{i}}\right)^{2N} = \prod_{\{j\neq i\}=1}^{n} \frac{\sin(u_{i}+u_{j}+4\eta)}{\sinh(u_{i}+u_{j}+3\eta)} \frac{\sinh(u_{i}+u_{j}+\eta)}{\sin(u_{i}+u_{j})} \frac{\sin(u_{i}-u_{j}+2\eta)}{\sinh(u_{i}-u_{j}-2\eta)} \times \frac{\sinh(u_{i}-u_{j}-\eta)}{\sinh(u_{i}-u_{j}+\eta)}, \qquad i=1,\dots,n$$
(4.16)

4.2.2. The (F^+, G^+) case. Here we have

$$\Omega_1(u) = -e^{2u} \frac{\sinh(2u+6\eta)}{\sinh(2u+2\eta)} \frac{\sinh\left(u+\frac{5}{2}\eta\right)}{\sinh\left(u+\frac{9}{2}\eta\right)} \frac{\cosh\left(u+\frac{1}{2}\eta\right)}{\cosh\left(u+\frac{3}{2}\eta\right)}$$

$$\Omega_2(u) = -\frac{\sinh(2u+6\eta)}{\sinh(2u+4\eta)} \frac{\sinh\left(u+\frac{5}{2}\eta\right)}{\sinh\left(u+\frac{9}{2}\eta\right)}$$

$$\Omega_3(u) = -e^{-2u-4\eta} \frac{\sinh\left(u+\frac{3}{2}\eta\right)}{\sinh\left(u+\frac{9}{2}\eta\right)}$$
(4.17)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}_{1}(u) &= -e^{-2u} \frac{\sinh\left(u + \frac{3}{2}\eta\right)}{\sinh\left(u - \frac{3}{2}\eta\right)} \frac{x_{1}^{2N}(u)}{f^{N}(u)} \\ \mathcal{X}_{2}(u) &= \frac{\sinh(2u)}{\sinh(2u + 2\eta)} \frac{\sinh\left(u + \frac{1}{2}\eta\right)}{\sinh\left(u - \frac{3}{2}\eta\right)} \frac{x_{2}^{2N}(u)}{f^{N}(u)} \\ \mathcal{X}_{3}(u) &= -e^{2u + 4\eta} \frac{\sinh(2u)}{\sinh(2u + 4\eta)} \frac{\sinh\left(u + \frac{1}{2}\eta\right)}{\sinh\left(u - \frac{3}{2}\eta\right)} \frac{\cosh\left(u + \frac{5}{2}\eta\right)}{\cosh\left(u + \frac{3}{2}\eta\right)} \frac{x_{3}^{2N}(u)}{f^{N}(u)} \end{aligned}$$
(4.18)

and

$$\Theta(u_i) = -e^{-2u_i} \frac{\sinh(2u_i + 2\eta)}{\sinh(2u_i)} \frac{\sinh\left(u_i + \frac{1}{2}\eta\right)}{\sinh\left(u_i + \frac{3}{2}\eta\right)}$$
(4.19)

Therefore, the *n*-particle state $\Psi_n(\{u_i\})$ is an eigenfuction of the transfer matrix (3.8) for the osp(2|1) vertex model with boundaries (F^+, G^+) . The corresponding eigenvalue is given by

$$\Lambda_{n}(u, \{u_{i}\}) = \Omega_{1}(u)\mathcal{X}_{1}(u) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\sin(u+u_{i}-\eta)}{\sinh(u+u_{i}+\eta)} \frac{\sinh(u-u_{i}-\eta)}{\sinh(u-u_{i}+\eta)} + \Omega_{2}(u)\mathcal{X}_{2}(u) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\sin(u+u_{i}+3\eta)}{\sinh(u+u_{i}+2\eta)} \frac{\sin(u-u_{i}+3\eta)}{\sinh(u-u_{i}+\eta)} \times \frac{\sinh(u+u_{i})}{\sinh(u+u_{i}+\eta)} \frac{\sinh(u-u_{i})}{\sinh(u-u_{i}+2\eta)} + \Omega_{3}(u)\mathcal{X}_{3}(u) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\sinh(u+u_{i}+4\eta)}{\sinh(u+u_{i}+2\eta)} \frac{\sinh(u-u_{i}+4\eta)}{\sinh(u-u_{i}+2\eta)}$$
(4.20)

with the BA equations

$$\left(\frac{\sinh(u_{i}+\eta)}{\sinh(u_{i}-\eta)}\right)^{2N} = \left(\frac{\sinh\left(u_{i}-\frac{1}{2}\eta\right)}{\sinh\left(u_{i}+\frac{1}{2}\eta\right)}\right)^{2} \prod_{\{j\neq i\}=1}^{n} \frac{\sin(u_{i}+u_{j}+2\eta)}{\sinh(u_{i}+u_{j}+\eta)} \frac{\sin(u_{i}-u_{j}+2\eta)}{\sinh(u_{i}-u_{j}-2\eta)} \times \frac{\sinh(u_{i}+u_{j}-\eta)}{\sin(u_{i}+u_{j}+\eta)} \frac{\sinh(u_{i}-u_{j}-\eta)}{\sinh(u_{i}-u_{j}+\eta)}, \qquad i = 1, \dots, n.$$
(4.21)

Again, $\Lambda_n(u, \{u_i\})$ and the Bethe equations have been written in their symmetric form.

4.2.3. The (F^-, G^-) case. Here we have

$$\Omega_{1}(u) = e^{2u} \frac{\sinh(2u+6\eta)}{\sinh(2u+2\eta)} \frac{\cosh\left(u+\frac{5}{2}\eta\right)}{\cosh\left(u+\frac{9}{2}\eta\right)} \frac{\sinh\left(u+\frac{1}{2}\eta\right)}{\sinh\left(u+\frac{3}{2}\eta\right)}$$

$$\Omega_{2}(u) = -\frac{\sinh(2u+6\eta)}{\sinh(2u+4\eta)} \frac{\cosh(u+\frac{5}{2}\eta)}{\cosh\left(u+\frac{9}{2}\eta\right)}$$

$$\Omega_{3}(u) = e^{-2u-4\eta} \frac{\cosh\left(u+\frac{3}{2}\eta\right)}{\cosh\left(u+\frac{9}{2}\eta\right)}$$
(4.22)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}_{1}(u) &= e^{-2u} \frac{\cosh\left(u + \frac{3}{2}\eta\right)}{\cosh\left(u - \frac{3}{2}\eta\right)} \frac{x_{1}^{2N}(u)}{f^{N}(u)} \\ \mathcal{X}_{2}(u) &= \frac{\sinh(2u)}{\sinh(2u + 2\eta)} \frac{\cosh\left(u + \frac{1}{2}\eta\right)}{\cosh\left(u - \frac{3}{2}\eta\right)} \frac{x_{2}^{2N}(u)}{f^{N}(u)} \\ \mathcal{X}_{3}(u) &= e^{2u + 4\eta} \frac{\sinh(2u)}{\sinh(2u + 4\eta)} \frac{\cosh\left(u + \frac{1}{2}\eta\right)}{\cosh\left(u - \frac{3}{2}\eta\right)} \frac{\sinh\left(u + \frac{5}{2}\eta\right)}{\sinh\left(u + \frac{3}{2}\eta\right)} \frac{x_{3}^{2N}(u)}{f^{N}(u)} \end{aligned}$$
(4.23)

$$\Theta(u_i) = e^{-2u_i} \frac{\sinh(2u_i + 2\eta)}{\sinh(2u_i)} \frac{\cosh\left(u_i + \frac{1}{2}\eta\right)}{\cosh\left(u_i + \frac{3}{2}\eta\right)}.$$
(4.24)

Therefore, the *n*-particle state $\Psi_n(\{u_i\})$ is an eigenfuction of the transfer matrix (3.8) for the osp(2|1) vertex model with boundaries (F^-, G^-) . The corresponding eigenvalue is given by

$$\Lambda_{n}(u, \{u_{i}\}) = \Omega_{1}(u)\mathcal{X}_{1}(u)\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\sin(u+u_{i}-\eta)}{\sinh(u+u_{i}+\eta)} \frac{\sinh(u-u_{i}-\eta)}{\sinh(u-u_{i}+\eta)} + \Omega_{2}(u)\mathcal{X}_{2}(u)\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\sin(u+u_{i}+3\eta)}{\sinh(u+u_{i}+2\eta)} \frac{\sin(u-u_{i}+3\eta)}{\sinh(u-u_{i}+\eta)} \times \frac{\sinh(u+u_{i})}{\sinh(u+u_{i}+\eta)} \frac{\sinh(u-u_{i})}{\sinh(u-u_{i}+2\eta)} + \Omega_{3}(u)\mathcal{X}_{3}(u)\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\sinh(u+u_{i}+4\eta)}{\sinh(u+u_{i}+2\eta)} \frac{\sinh(u-u_{i}+4\eta)}{\sinh(u-u_{i}+2\eta)}$$
(4.25)

and the BA equations are now given by

$$\left(\frac{\sinh(u_i+\eta)}{\sinh(u_i-\eta)}\right)^{2N} = \left(\frac{\cosh\left(u_i-\frac{1}{2}\eta\right)}{\cosh\left(u_i+\frac{1}{2}\eta\right)}\right)^2 \prod_{\substack{\{j\neq i\}=1}}^n \frac{\sin(u_i+u_j+2\eta)}{\sinh(u_i+u_j+\eta)} \frac{\sin(u_i-u_j+2\eta)}{\sinh(u_i-u_j-2\eta)} \times \frac{\sinh(u_i+u_j-\eta)}{\sin(u_i+u_j-2\eta)} \frac{\sinh(u_i-u_j-\eta)}{\sinh(u_i-u_j+\eta)}.$$
(4.26)

Here, both $\Lambda_n(u, \{u_i\})$ and the BA equation were written with $u_i \rightarrow u_i - \eta$. These three cases were also considered in [29] via the coordinate BA.

5. Conclusion

Here, with the aid of previous works [25, 26], the boundary algebraic BA was derived for two of the three-state graded 19-vertex models using a generalization of Tarasov's approach [37]. From our results for the transfer matrix, one can in principle derive the free-energy thermodynamics, the quasi-particle excitations behaviour, and the classes of universality governing the criticality of gapless regimes with integrable boundary conditions. Moreover, the rather universal formula we obtained for the eigenvectors could be useful in future computations of off-shell properties such as form factors and correlation functions with boundary conditions of relevant operators.

The algebraic BA for *n*-state models with periodic boundary conditions was developed by Martins in [38]. In a recent paper Galleas and Martins [39] have presented the algebraic BA for the vertex models based on superalgebras. Therefore we believe that the Martins's approach can be generalized to include the diagonal open boundary conditions.

Finally we observe that the vertex models discussed in this paper share a common algebraic structure with the non-graded 19-vertex models, the Zamolodchikov-Fateev [27] and the

Izergin-Korepin [28] models. Thus, we expected that a fusion procedure for the $sl(2|1)^{(2)}$ model as well as the analytical BA formulation based in the quantum group invariance of the osp(2|1) model can reproduce our results.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported in part by Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo-FAPESP-Brazil and by Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento-CNPq-Brazil.

References

- Korepin V E and Essler F H L (ed) 1994 Exactly Solvable Models of Strongly Correlated Electrons (Singapore: World Scientific)
- [2] Foerster A and Karowiski M 1993 Nucl. Phys. B 396 611
- [3] Foeerster A and Karowiski M 1993 Nucl. Phys. B 408 512
- [4] Bariev R Z, Klümpler A and Zittartz J 1995 Europhys. Lett. 32 85
- [5] Bedurfig G and Frahm H 1995 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 28 4453
- [6] Baxter R J 1982 Exactly Solved Models in Statistical Mechanics (London: Academic)
- [7] Kulish P P and Sklyanin E K 1980 Zap. Nauchn. Semin. LOMI 95 129
- [8] Deguchi T, Fujii A and Ito K 1990 Phys. Lett. B 238 242
- [9] Bracken A J, Delius G W, Gould M D and Zhang Y-Z 1994 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen 27 6551
- [10] Maassarani Z 1995 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 28 1305
- [11] Gould M D, Links J R, Zhang Y-Z and Tsonhantjis I 1997 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 30 4313
- [12] Guan X-W, Foerster A, Grimm U, Römer R A and Schreiber M 2001 Nucl. Phys. B 618 650
- [13] Cherednik I V 1984 Theor. Math. Phys. 61 977
- [14] Sklyanin E K 1988 J. Phys A: Math. Gen. 21 2375
- [15] Mezincescu L and Nepomechie R I 1991 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 24 L17
- [16] Mezincescu L and Nepomechie R I 1995 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 28 5131
- [17] deVega H J and Gonzales-Ruiz A 1993 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 26 L519
- [18] deVega H J and Gonzales-Ruiz A 1994 Nucl. Phys. B 417 553
- [19] Yung C M and Batchelor M T 1995 Nucl. Phys. B 435 430
- [20] Faddeev L D and Takhtajan L A 1979 Usp. Mat. Nauk. 34 13
- [21] Bethe H A 1931 Z. Physik 71 205
- [22] Bracken A J, Ge X-Y, Zhang Y-Z and Zhou H-Q 1998 Nucl. Phys. B 516 388
- [23] Zhang Y-Z and Zhou H-Q 1998 Phys. Lett. A 244 427
- [24] Arnaudon D 1999 J. High Energy Phys. 12 6
- [25] Guang-Liang Li, Kang-Jie Shi and Rui-Hong Yue 2003 Nucl. Phys. B 670 401
- [26] Kurak V and Lima-Santos A 2004 Nucl. Phys. B 699 595 (Preprint nlin.SI/0406050)
- [27] Zamolodchikov A B and Fateev A V 1980 Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 32 298
- [28] Izergin A G and Korepin V E 1981 Commun. Math. Phys. 79 303
- [29] Fireman E C, Lima-Santos A and Utiel W 2002 Nucl. Phys. B 626 435 (Preprint nlin.SI/0110048)
- [30] Zhou H-Q, Ge X-Y, Links J R and Gould M D 1999 Nucl. Phys. B 546 779 (Preprint cond-mat/9809056)
- [31] Fan H M and Wadati W 2001 Nucl. Phys. B 599 561 (Preprint cond-mat/0008429)
- [32] Mezincescu L and Nepomechie R I 1992 Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 7 5657 (Preprint hep-th/9206047)
- [33] Kulish P P and Sklyanin E K 1982 J. Sov. Math. 19 1596
- [34] Bazhanov V V and Schadrikov A G 1989 Theor. Math. Phys. 73 1302
- [35] Mezincescu L R I and Nepomechie R I 1991 Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 6 5231
- [36] Lima-Santos A 1999 Nucl. Phys. B 558 637 (Preprint solv-int/9906003)
- [37] Tarasov V O 1988 Teor. Math. Phys. 76 793
- [38] Martins M J 1995 Nucl. Phys. B 450 768 (Preprint hep-th/9502133)
- [39] Galleas W and Martins M J 2004 Nucl. Phys. B 699 455 (Preprint nlin.SI/0406003)